



9 July 2012



Dry-Treat Pty Ltd 65 Nicholson Street St Leonards NSW 2065

Attention: Mr. Gabriel Chapman

Dry-Treat 40SK Sealer Evaluation

- Determination of impact on durability when applied to limestone

Client reference:	Request G. Chapman
Our reference:	DRT0512-1 Part 4
Investigating officers:	James P Mann, Kate Tonkin & Graham Baggs
Report prepared by:	James P Mann
James P Mann	ert

adoratory ivi

Stone Initiatives shall not be liable for loss, cost, damage or expense incurred by the client, or any other person or company, resulting from the use of any information or interpretation given in this report. In no case shall Stone Initiatives be liable for consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost profits, damages for failure to meet deadlines and lost production arising from this report. The client listed above and Stone Initiatives jointly own copyright over this document. This document shall not be reproduced without the express permission of one of the copyright owners and in any case shall only be reproduced in full. The results relate only to the items tested. Samples will be stored for 30 days.

Stone Initiatives and Materials Testing Group Pty Ltd 1 Pitt Lane, Cnr 24 Mount Barker Road, Totness SA 5250 PO Box 906, Littlehampton SA 5250 www.stonemtg.com.au

ABN 82 462 051 744 | ACN 106 166 296 P +61 (0) 8 8391 6844 F +61 (0) 8 8391 6855 M +61 (0) 400 251 020 info@stonemtg.com.au



1. INTRODUCTION

Stone Initiatives received a request from the client to carry out a test to evaluate the effectiveness of the Dry-Treat impregnating sealer product *40SK* in improving the durability of Valanges limestone proposed for use as a curtain wall cladding in China.

2. PROCEDURE

Two sets of three specimens¹ were prepared according to the client's instructions² from samples of Valanges limestone supplied by Rocamat (the stone processor and quarry owner). The sample sets (three per set) were identified as follows.

- \circ Set N Not sealed
- Set S treated with 40SK

Following treatment samples were allowed to air-dry under ambient conditions. Samples treated with 40SK were tested after twenty eight days curing time. Samples were weighed immediately prior to commencement of testing.

3. TEST PROGRAM

Durability was evaluated by the resistance to salt attack test procedure AS/NZS4456.10 Method A "Masonry Units and Segmental Pavers- Methods of Test - Method 10: Determining Resistance to Salt Attack". This involved subjecting the specimens to 15 cycles of soaking in a 6.2% sodium sulphate solution for a period of 2 hours followed by overnight drying at 65°C.

The salt attack test is considered a good indicator of durability as it evaluates the stability of the stone by exposing it to repeated wetting and drying cycles. The test also exposes the stone to the mechanical pressure of expanding salt crystals which can be considered analogous to the pressure imposed by freezing of water within the pores.

On completion of the test the specimens were inspected and both the specimens and residue were weighed to determine the amount of material lost through decay.

¹ 50 x 50 x 40mm

² Sealing details are shown in Appendix B.



4. RESULTS

Results are summarized in the table below. Full test data are detailed in Appendix A of this report.

Resistance to Salt Attack	Weight loss (%) Mean (Range)	Mode of Decay	
Not treated	9.8 (8.1 – 11.1)	Moderate surface pitting and crumbling of edges.	
40 SK	0.07 (0.04 – 0.08)	Very slight surface pitting.	

5. **DISCUSSION**

The testing carried out shows a marked reduction in decay on the specimens treated with 40SK with only a very slight surface pitting present. The application of 40SK has reduced the weight loss by 99% indicating a dramatic increase in durability against salt crystallization and similar mechanisms such as freeze-thaw.

The appearance of the specimens treated with 40SK after the salt attack test compared to the original treated surface is shown in Plate 1 below with no significant change in tonality (after washing to remove salt deposits).



Plate 1: Appearance of Valanges limestone specimens treated with 40SK after the resistance to salt attack test. Specimens are compared with original finish of Valanges treated with 40SK.



Appendix A

Test Certificates







RESISTANCE TO SALT ATTACK

Test Certificate

TEST MET	HOD		AS/NZS44	56.10-2003 I	Method A	
TEST DAT	E		16-Jun-12	to ()9-Jul-12	
CLIENT			Dry-Treat F	Pty Ltd		
OUR REFE	RENCE		DRT0512-1	1		
SAMPLE			Valanges L	imestone		
SURFACE	FINISH		Honed & S	awn		
SAMPLE O	RIGIN		France			
SAMPLING	DATE 1/05	/2012	SAMPLE L	OCATION	Not Known	
NOMINAL	SIZE		50x50x40	mm		
WORK SIZ	E		N/A - Raw	material eva	luation	
SOLUTION	USED		6.2% Sodiu	um Sulphate		
Conditio	oning: Dried	for minimu	m 48 hours @	65 deg C		
Test	Specimen	Initial	Mass Loss	Loss aft	er Mode of Decay	
Number	Identification	Mass (g)	(g)	15 Cycles	%)	
X1352	L119/13S	237.20	0.17	0.07%	VSL SP	_
X1353	L119/14S	268.10	0.12	0.04%	VSL SP	
X1354	L119/15S	248.11	0.21	0.08%	VSL SP	

MEAN MASS LOSS

0.07% ± 0.04 (U₉₅) 0.02

Standard Deviation:

Key to Mode of Decay

Degree	Туре		
VSL= Very Slight	SP= Surface pitting		
SL= Slight	CE= Crumbling of edges		
MD= Moderate	CR= Cracking		
SV= Severe	DL= Delamination		
	EX= Exfoliation		

NOTE: The expanded measurement uncertainty values (u95) quoted in this report are at a confidence level of 95 % with a nominal coverage factor of 2. These values do not include any estimate of the effects associated with sampling.

COMMENTS/VARIATIONS Sealed with 40SK. Modified specimen size & quantity.

TESTED BY: J Mann & G Baggs APPROVED SIGNATORY: NAME: James P Mann

ISSUE DATE:

09-Jul-12

This report shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of Stone Initiatives. Results relate only to the items tested.

Stone Initiatives and Materials Testing Group Pty Ltd 1 Pitt Lane, Cnr 24 Mount Barker Road, Totness SA 5250 PO Box 906, Littlehampton SA 5250 www.stonemtg.com.au ABN 82 462 051 744 | ACN 106 166 296 P +61 (0) 8 8391 6844 F +61 (0) 8 8391 6855 M +61 (0) 400 251 020 info@stonemtg.com.au#







RESISTANCE TO SALT ATTACK

Test Certificate

Т	EST METH	IOD		AS/NZS44	56.10-2003 M	ethod A		
T	EST DATE			02-Jun-12	to 28	3-Jun-12		
c	LIENT			Dry-Treat F	Pty Ltd			
0	UR REFE	RENCE		DRT0512-1	DRT0512-1			
S	AMPLE			Valanges Limestone				
S	URFACE	FINISH		Honed & S	awn			
S	AMPLE O	RIGIN		France				
SAMPLING DATE 1/05/2012			SAMPLE L	SAMPLE LOCATION Not Known				
N	OMINAL S	SIZE		50x50x50 i	nm			
N	ORK SIZE			N/A - Raw	material evalu	lation		
S	OLUTION	USED		6.2% Sodiu	im Sulphate			
	Conditio	oning: Dried	for minimur	n 48 hours @	65 deg C			
	Test Number	Specimen Identification	Initial Mass (g)	Mass Loss (g)	Loss afte 15 Cycles (%	r Mode of Decay %)		
	X1340	L119/1N	260.57	28.81	11.06%	MD SP, SL CE		
	X1341	L119/2N	247.66	24.97	10.08%	MD SP, SL CE		
	X1342	L119/3N	256.67	20.90	8.14%	MD SP, SL CE		

MEAN MASS LOSS

9.76% ± 0.04 (U95) 1.5

Standard Deviation:

Key to Mode of Decay

Degree	Туре
VSL= Very Slight	SP= Surface pitting
SL= Slight	CE= Crumbling of edges
MD= Moderate	CR= Cracking
SV= Severe	DL= Delamination
	EX= Exfoliation

NOTE: The expanded measurement uncertainty values (u95) quoted in this report are at a confidence level of 95 % with a nominal coverage factor of 2. These values do not include any estimate of the effects associated with sampling.

COMMENTS/VARIATIONS

TESTED BY: J Mann & G Baggs APPROVED SIGNATORY: NAME: James P Mann

ISSUE DATE:

02-Jul-12

This report shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of Stone Initiatives. Results relate only to the items tested.

Stone Initiatives and Materials Testing Group Pty Ltd 1 Pitt Lane, Cnr 24 Mount Barker Road, Totness SA 5250 PO Box 906, Littlehampton SA 5250 www.stonemtg.com.au ABN 82 462 051 744 | ACN 106 166 296 P +61 (0) 8 8391 6844 F +61 (0) 8 8391 6855 M +61 (0) 400 251 020 info@stonemtg.com.au



Appendix B

Application Method for 40SK Sealer



Manufacturers Application Instructions

DRY-TREAT 40SK

- 1) Dip the tile into the sealer for approx 15 seconds
- 2) Once it is removed from the dipping container, leave to absorb sealer for 20 minutes and buff any residue (unlikely to be any residue) off with dry cloths.
- 3) This sealer must cure for at least 4 full weeks prior to testing to give the silane opportunity to migrate and find all the suitable bonding sites in the material.